Photo-identification of gray whales in Bahia Magdalena, Baja California Sur: The use of a student-base photographic archive.

• Eduardo Nájera Hillman1,*. and Laura Conner2

 1,*Center for Coastal Studies-The School for Field Studies. enajera@fieldstudies.org. Puerto San Carlos, B.C.S. México. CP 23740; AP15. Tel. +52 613 1360350. 1,*Author for correspondence.

2University of Redlands, lauradconner@gmail.com, 1231 E. Colton Ave #A308, Redlands, CA, 92374; Tel. +1 408 6030324.

RESUMEN Photographs of grey whales have been collected by students of the School for Field Studies in Puerto San Carlos, B.C.S., Mexico, since 1998. We evaluated the utility of this student-based photographic archive as a tool to identify gray whale individuals and to characterize injuries over the whale's bodies. A total of 278 individual were identified, several were resighted within years; however, none were re-sighted between years. The dorsal area was the most commonly photographed body region; however, photographs of the flukes proved to be useful for photographic identification as well. 6.8% of the whales photographed had injuries; most of them were big scratches followed missing tips of the flukes. Our results indicate that a well managed student-based cetacean photographic archive could easily and economically apply for short-term movement patterns investigations and for monitoring the occurrence injuries from anthropogenic interactions.

Key words: photographic identification, Bahia Magdalena, gray whales, student-based archive.

Las fotografías de las ballenas grises han sido recaudadas por los estudiantes de la Escuela para estudios de campo en Puerto San Carlos, B.C.S., ABSTRACT México, desde 1998. Evaluamos la utilidad de este archivo fotográfico de los estudiantes como una herramienta para identificar a los individuos de ballena gris y caracterizar las heridas sobre los cuerpos de estas mismas. Un total de 278 individuos fueron identificados, muchos se observaron con el paso de los años, sin embargo otros no lograron ser vistos. La parte dorsal fue la región mas comúnmente fotografiada, sin embargo, las fotografías de las aletas demostraron ser útiles para la identificación fotográfica tambien. 6.8% de las ballenas fotografiadas tenían heridas; la mayoría de ellas mostraban grandes arañazos y la falta de puntas en las aletas. Nuestros resultados indican que un archivo de cetáceos bien organizado basado en fotografías podrían facilmente y economicamente para las investigaciones ser aplicadas a corto plazo de los patrones de movimiento y el monitoreo de las lesiones por interacciones antrópicas.

Palabras claves: identificación fotográfica, Bahía Magdalena, ballenas grises, archivo estudiante-basado.

INTRODUCTION

Grey whales (Eschrichtius robustus) are probably the most primitive of the living baleen whales (Bonner, 1989). They are sufficiently distinctive relative to other cetaceans to be placed in their own family, the Eschrichtiidae (Reeves et al. 2002), although it has been suggested that they are closely related to the rorquals (balaenopterids) (Sasaki et al. 2005). Gray whales were once distributed in both the North Pacific and the North Atlantic oceans (Swartz et al. 2006). However, due to intense whaling, they were nearly brought to extinction in the 1900s (Dedina and Young, 1995); today they are only found in the North Pacific (Mead and Mitchell, 1984) where two genetically and geographically isolated populations exist: the eastern North Pacific and western North Pacific (LeDuc et al. 2002). Eastern North Pacific gray whales seem to have recovered from commercial whaling (Rugh et al. 2005) while the western population remains critically depleted (Buckland and Breiwick, 2002).

In Mexico, the eastern North Pacific gray whales congregate along the Pacific coast of Baja California during their winter breeding season, where they aggregate for calving in Laguna Ojo de Liebre and Guerrero Negro, Laguna San Ignacio, and the Bahia Magdalena Lagoon Complex (BMLC) (Alter et al. 2009). Current threats for gray whales in Mexican waters include mortality associated with entanglement in passive fishing gear, ship strikes and coastal development. However, in Mexico, conservation efforts to protect this species include legal (e.g. NOM-59-ECOL-2001) and habitat protection (i.e. Vizcaino Biosphere Reserve) as well as scientific research regarding grav whale reproductive behavior, abundance, distribution and feeding ecology (e.g. Jones 1990; Pérez-Cortés, 2004; Caraveo-Patiño and Soto, 2005). These investigations have contributed valuable information about the importance of their coastal lagoon habitats to their reproductive success (see Urbán et al. 2003).

Photo-identification is one of the methods that has been used to investigate gray whales because it can be used to study a variety of characteristics, including: group composition, individual 'fidelity' to an area of distribution, short-term movement patterns, migrations, and population size (Würsig and Jefferson, 1990). This technique can be reliably used for long-term gray whale population studies due to the fact that markings on the mottled skin of gray whales may persist for at least 11 years (Darling, 1984). Gray whale markings may include natural pigmentation and extensive scarring from dead barnacles, predator attacks (e.g. killer whales), rubbing on the ocean floor or even from anthropogenic interactions (Bradford et al. 2009). In Mexico the analyses of the photographic records has been used to evaluate abundance, range and movements of gray whales in Laguna San Ignacio (e.g. Jones and Swartz, 1984; Urban et al. 2003). These investigations have provided evidence that some female individuals display long-term fidelity to some lagoons (Jones, 1990) although gray whales also may move between lagoons (Urban et al. 2003). Photo-identification data from other lagoons are in the process of being analyzed, although results are still unavailable (Alter et al. 2009).

In the BMLC, the distribution and abundance of gray whales have been studied sporadically in the last 30 years (e.g. Norris et al. 1983; Fleischer and Contreras, 1986; Gardner and Chávez-Rosales, 2000; Pérez-Cortés et al. 2004). These studies have provided some information that could contribute to the development of effective management plans for the area. For example, it has been suggested that different areas of the lagoon complex may be used by gray whales in different ways (Pérez-Cortés *et al.* 2004), and that whales may be more abundant in colder years (Gardner and Chavez-Rosales, 2000). However, there are still many research needs that could be addressed, in part, through photographic identification investigations (Urbán *et al.* 2003).

The School for Field Studies Mexico (SFSM) holds an extensive gray whale photographic archive that has been collected as part of their educational/academic activities in the last 12 years. The school is part of

a non-profit study abroad program from the United States, located in the town of Puerto San Carlos in Bahia Magdalena, where every year students and staff members have had the opportunity to collect hundreds of gray whale photographs. Consequently, this photographic archive may enable researchers to compile accurate data on, for example, population size, distribution and short-term movement patterns of gray whales. Thus, the aim of this study is to evaluate the utility of this student based photographic archive as a tool to identify individual whales that may show fidelity to Bahia Magdalena and to evaluate the body condition of gray whales in Bahia Magdalena based on the frequency and type on injuries that may be observed in the photographs.

METHODS

Study Area

Zona de Canales Puerto San Carlos Pacific Ocean Bahía Magdalena Bahía Almejas 0 5 10 20 Kilometers

The Bahia Magdalena Lagoon Complex (BMLC) is an

Photographic collection

The present study is based on photographs taken by students and staff of the SFSM in the years 1998, 1999, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2009 and 2010. Therefore, a variety of cameras were used; although it was very common that photographers utilized cameras with variable focal length (zoom) lenses, which enable them to photograph whales that were close to or far away from the boats. The management of the photographic archive was mainly conducted by the students and in general the only information available for each photograph was the date on which it was taken.

The vessels used throughout the field work were 8-9 m fiberglass boats outfitted with 115 hp engines. Small boats (<10m) are best for photo-identification

extremely biologically productive and diverse embayment at the Pacific Coast in Baja California Sur, Mexico (Bizzarro 2008). The BLMC is composed of three main areas: Zona de Canales, Bahia Magdalena, and Bahia Almejas (Fig. 1). This study was conducted only in Bahia Magdalena, which is located between 24°20'N-25°20'N and 111°30'W-112°10'W. The bay is roughly 31 km long and 22 km wide, connecting to the Pacific Ocean through a 6 km wide mouth, which is found between Isla Magdalena in the west and Isla Margarita in the southeast (Perez-Cortez *et al.* 2004). In general the bay has higher temperatures and salinity levels compared to the Pacific Ocean (Gardner & Chavez-Rosales 2000). Bahia Magdalena is one of the main wintering grounds of gray whales in the Baja California peninsula (Rice et al. 1981). In this bay, single whales occur in a much higher proportion than mother-calf pairs and up to 80 whale individuals can be observed in just one day during the peak of the breeding season (Pérez-Cortés et al. 2004).

Figure 1. Map of the Bahia Magdalena Lagoon Complex. Inset: map of the Baja California peninsula depicts the location of the study area.

because they are more maneuverable and allow for a low angle for the photos. Filed work was only conducted under favorable weather conditions (Beaufort scale < 2); for safety purposes and to improve photograph quality. Fast speeds of boats were avoided because they cause spray and can cause the animals to change their behavior (Würsig and Jefferson, 1990). Since the photographs were taken by many students, who were directed by different staff members throughout the years; there was not a standardized method to photograph whales. In general we collected photographs from head to fluke. Thus, photographs included both sides of the flanks and heads as well as the flukes. However, not all of those body regions were photographed for each individual. Photographs were stored in a computer and organized by year and labeled with the date.



17

Photograph Quality

Each photo was graded using a scoring matrix in order to determine which pictures were suitable for individual identification (Table 1). The photos were also cropped to retain only the whale subject within the frame. This saves computer memory space and allows the photos to load faster on the computer. Photographs with a total grade of 12 or more were discarded because they were useless for individual identification purposes. When possible, the total number of photos taken and the number of those retained was recorded.

| Score | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|
| % photo that is whale | 10-8 | 8-6 | 6-3 | 3-1 | 1-0 |
| % whale in photo | 20+ | 19-15 | 14-10 | 9-5 | 4-0 |
| Angle of the whale | 0 | 10-30 | 30-50 | 50-70 | 70-90 |
| Photo conditions | excellent | good | fair | poor | very poor |
| Photo quality | clear | blurry, all marks visible | blurry, some marks visible | blurry, only distinguishing marks visible | blurry, marks cannot be distinguished |

Table 1. Photo scoring matrix, a photo's total grade is between 5 (the best) and 25 (the worst).

Individual Identification

In order to identify individual gray whales from photographs, we classified them according to shared characteristics such as large white marks, large injuries, flukes, and unique coloration patterns. Since the photographs were matched by hand, placing them in separated folders according to the above categories allowed us to speed up the matching process.

Two photos were matched at a time. Two photos were be kept open in windows at the bottom of the computer screen in order to compare them to other photos that were scrolled through and examined individually for comparisons in a larger window in the top half of the screen. When a match was found, it was recorded in a database. The criterion used in this study to consider an individual whale to be re-sighted was seeing the same whale on a different day than it was originally photographed on. Photographs of a whale that were taken on the same day were not considered in this category because they were generally taken in a series at the same time. The re-sighting rate was determined according to the following formula: re-sighting rate = (# re-sighted individuals)/ (Total # of individuals)

Injuries and body regions assessment

Determining which body regions of a gray whale are

photographed most frequently can lead to improve photo-identification efforts during gray whale monitoring programs. Therefore, all available photographs were examined for the frequency of appearance of 21 defined regions spanning the entire body. Regions 1-4 denote the head, 5-9 are the back and sides, and 10-20 are portions of the tail (see Bradford et al. 2009 for details). In addition, body condition of the gray whale individuals of our photographic archive was assessed through quantification of injuries or scars. Small scratches were not considered to be injuries, only severe scratches and larger injuries, such as, but not limited to, killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) rake marks were considered.

RESULTS

Individual Identification

A total of 1064 photographs were analyzed. However, even when several hundred photos were taken each year, only a fraction of them were useful for individual identification purposes. In average, only 28.1% (SD = 10.1) of the photographs taken proved to be useful. Nevertheless, we were able to identify a total of 278 gray whale individuals from our archive. As expected we were able to identify more individuals in those years when we spend more days in the field. More individuals were photographed in 1999, 2004, 2005 and 2007 than in 1998, 2009 or 2010. Many individuals were matched in photographs taken the same day; however, only 24 individuals were matched in photographs taken on a different day in the same

year. There were no matches of individuals photographed in different years. The average re-sighting rate in this study was 0.078; yet, it ranged from 0.00 to 0.44 for individual years (Table 2).

| Year | # Days of Effort | # Photos taken | Useful Photos | # of individuals | Re-sightings (same year) | Re-sighting rate |
|------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| 1998 | 1 | n/a | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 1999 | 7 | n/a | 60 | 54 | 2 | 0.04 |
| 2004 | 12 | 239 | 78 | 64 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2005 | 8 | n/a | 102 | 48 | 21 | 0.44 |
| 2007 | 6 | 482 | 108 | 70 | 0 | 0.00 |
| 2009 | 4 | 97 | 17 | 14 | 1 | 0.07 |
| 2010 | 4 | 80 | 32 | 25 | 0 | 0.00 |

Table 2. Number of photos and individuals of gray whales (Eschrichtius robustus) for each year examined in Bahia Magdalena, Baja California Sur, Mexico.

Injuries and body regions assessment

In general the dorsal regions of the animals (regions 5-9) were most commonly photographed. The regions of the dorsa were photographed every year, while the regions of the head (1-4) were only photographed in

2005, 2007, 2009 and 2010; and the regions of the tail (10-20) were merely photographed in 2009 and 2010. It is worth mentioning that in 2010, 77.03% of the photographs taken contained regions of the flukes (Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Frequency by year of visible gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) body regions photographed in Bahia Magdalena, Baja California Sur, Mexico.

Of the 278 gray whale individuals identified in this study, 6.8% presented noteworthy injuries (Table 3). One individual presented a severe injury (Fig. 3A), 3 presented potential rake marks from predator's teeth (Fig.3B), 10 had noticeable scratches and five had

the tips of the flukes missing (Fig. 3C). Only one individual was noticeably thinner in relation to the other whales photographed; however this was not considered to be an injury.

| Year | # of individuals | Scratches | Tooth Marks | Serious | Missing Tips | Skinny |
|-------|------------------|-----------|-------------|---------|--------------|--------|
| 1998 | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| 1999 | 54 | 5 | 1 | | | |
| 2004 | 64 | 2 | | 1 | | |
| 2005 | 48 | | 1 | | | |
| 2007 | 70 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 2009 | 14 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 |
| 2010 | 25 | | | | 4 | |
| Total | 278 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 1 |

Table 3. Injuries and body condition of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*) photographed in Bahia Magdalena, Baja California Sur, Mexico.





DISCUSSION

Individual Identification

In this study we were able to identify 278 gray whale individuals and to re-sight 24 individuals in different days of the same season. However, we were unable to re-sight individuals in different years. In comparison, in Laguna San Ignacio, from 1977 to 1982, 562 individuals were identified from which 179 were resighted in different years (Jones, 1990). The fact that we were not able to re-sight individuals among years is most likely a consequence of 1) lack of a standardized procedure to obtain photographs and 2) inefficient photographic data management. However, in 2005 we were able to re-sight almost half (re-sighting rate = 0.44) of the individuals photographed in only 8 days of field work (Table 2); on a specialized photo-identification study of the western gray whale population, Yakovlev and Tyurneva (2003) obtained a similar re-sighting rate (0.47) when they observed whales for 13 days. Thus, it is expected that as long as the location, date and time of the day are recorded for each photograph taken, a student based gray whale photographic archive can be successfully used for investigations of short-term gray whale movement patterns within Bahia Magdalena. On the other hand, given that some gray whale individuals move between breeding grounds during the same winter season (Jones and Swartz, 1984), it is probable that our archive might be of use for larger scale photographic identification investigations of gray whales in their wintering grounds.

Injuries and body region assessment

The dorsal region was the most frequently photographed gray whale body region in our study; this result is consistent with the notion that both the left and right sides of the dorsal region around the dorsal hump are the most frequently observed body regions, and are good regions to use for photographic identification (e.g. Hammond et al. 1990; Jones, 1990; Calambokidis et al. 2002). However, it has been noted that using photographs of the flukes together with photographs of the dorsal area from the same individuals may decrease the probability of false matches (Hammond et al. 1990). Furthermore, although variations in fluke shape by themselves are seldom applicable to gray whale individual identification due to the relative rarity of gray whale fluking, it has been noted that if the flukes have distinctive marks caused by damage from propellers and from the teeth of predators, then flukes can be used for individual identification (Yakovlev and Tyurneva, 2003). Thus, it is worth mentioning that the most common type of injuries observed in our photographic record was injuries on the flukes. Fluke photos were obtained for 13 individuals and of those, 38% had the tips of the flukes missing. Moreover, although in past investigations in Baja California, fluke patterns generally were not used for identification because whales rarely raised their flukes above the water surface when diving (Jones, 1990); in our study in 2010, flukes were the most commonly photographed body region, indicating that these body regions should continue to be photographed and used in gray whale photographic identification and injury studies.

It is known that in Mexico, gray whales may be injured by passive fishing gear and/or ship strikes. Based on a photographic archive of gray whales in Mexican waters, Urbán et al. (2003) calculated that at least 2% of the whales had injuries presumably produced by impact with a large vessel. Although, 6.8% of the individuals identified in this study presented noteworthy injuries (Table 3), only one individual presented a severe injury that might be attributable to an anthropogenic interaction (< 1%). Thus, a photographic archive of this nature might be useful to monitor the abundance of injuries caused by anthropogenic interactions along time and across wintering grounds in Mexican waters.

Finally, it is considered that a photographic archive collected as part of educational/academic activities, has the potential to contribute quality information about short-term movement patterns and monitoring of anthropogenic injuries for many cetacean species. As long as all photograph associated information is well managed and retained, the utility of these archives could be increased. At present, there are some educational institutions in Mexico (e.g. UABC, UABCS, UNAM, and UV) conducting field exercises involving cetacean watching/photographing activities, which may be benefited by the resulting photographic archives produced by their students.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This investigation was made possible due to the enthusiasm of all students and staff of The School for Field studies for the last 12 years. We would like to thank to the Dirección General de Vida Silvestre of SEMARNAT for all the permits granted to conduct this research. Special thanks to our boat drivers, Alfonso Romero, Cipriano Romero and Felipe Inzunza for their hard work.

REFERENCES

Alter, S.E., Flores, F.S., Nigenda, S., Urbán, R.J., Rojas, B.L., Palumbi, S.R. 2009. Mitochondrial and nuclear genetic variation across calving la

goons in eastern north pacific gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*). Journal of Heredity, 100: 34–46.

Bizzarro, J. 2008. A Review of the physical and biological characteristics of the Bahia Magdalena Lagoon Complex (Baja California Sur, Mexico). Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science, 107: 1-24

Bonner, N. 1989. Whales of the World. Facts On File Inc., New York. 191 p.

Bradford, A., Welle, r D., Ivaschenko, Y., Burdin, A., Browness, R. 2009. Anthropogenic scarring of western gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*). Marine Mammal Science, 25: 161–175.

Buckland, S.T. and Breiwick, J.M. 2002. Estimated trends in abundance of eastern gray whales from shore counts, 1967/68 to 1995/96. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 4: 41–48.

Calambokidis, J., Darling, J.D., Deecke, V., Gearin, P Merrill Gosho, M., Megill, W., Tombach, C.M., Goley, D., Caitlyn Toropova, C., Gisborne, b. 2002. Abundance, range and movements of a feeding aggregation of gray whales (Eschrichtius robustus) from California to southeastern Alaska in 1998. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 4: 267–276.

Caraveo-Patiño, J. and Soto, A.L. 2005. Stable carbon isotope ratios for the gray whale (*Eschrichtius robustus*) in the breeding grounds of Baja California Sur, Mexico. Hydrobiologia, 539: 99–107.

Darling, J.D. 1984. Gray whales off Vancouver Island, British Columbia. Pags. 265–280.

In: M.L. Jones, S.L. Swartz and S. Leatherwood (Eds.). The Gray Whale, Eschrichtius robustus. Acedemic Press, Inc. Orlando.

Dedina, S., and Young, E. 1995. Conservation and Development in the Gray Whale Lagoons of Baja California Sur, Mexico. Final Report to the U.S. Marine Mammal Commission. U.S. Marine Mammal Commission, Washington DC.

Gardner, S.C. and Chávez-Rosales, S. 2000. Changes in the relative abundance and distribution of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*) in Magdalena Bay, Mexico during an El Niño event. Marine Mammal Science, 16: 728–738.

Hammond, P. S., Mizroch, S. A., & Donovan, G. P. 1990. Individual recognition of cetaceans: use of photo-id and other techniques to estimate population parameters. Report of the International Whaling Commission, N12, 1-440.

Jones, M.L. 1990. The reproductive cycle in gray whales based on photographic resightings of females in the breeding grounds from 1997-82. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (special issue) 12: 177–182.

Jones, M.L. and Swartz, S.I. 1984. Demography and phenology of gray whales and evaluation of whale-watching activities in Laguna San Ignacio, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Pags. 309–374.

. In: M.L. Jones, S.L. Swartz and S. Leatherwood (Eds.). The Gray Whale, Eschrichtius robustus. Acedemic Press, Inc. Orlando.

LeDuc, R.G., Weller, D.W., Hyde, J., Burdin, A.M., Rosel, P.E., Brwonell, R.L., Würsig, B., Dizon, A.E. 2002. Genetic differences between western and eastern north pacific gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*). Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 4: 1–5.

Mead, J.G. and Mitchell, E.D. 1984. Atlantic gray whales. Pags. 543–549. In: Ed. M.L. Jones, S.L. Swartz and S. Leatherwood (Eds.). The Gray Whale Eschrichtius robustus, Academic Press Inc., New York. Norris, K.S., Villa-Ramírez, B., Nichols, G., Würsig, B. miller, K. 1983. Lagoon entrance and other aggrefations of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*). Pags. 259–293. In: R. Payne (Ed.). AAAS Select Symposiium Series. 76. Communication and Bahavior of Whales. Westview Press, Colorado.

Pérez-Cortés, H.M., Urbán, R.J., Loreto, P.A.C. 2004. A note on gray whale distribution and abundance in the Magdalena Bay Complex, Mexico during the 1997 winter season. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 6: 133–138.

Reeves, R.R., Stewart, B.S., Clapham, P.J., Powell, J.A. 2002. Guide to marine mammals of the world. National Audubon Society, New York. 527 p.

Rugh, D.J., Hobbs, R.C. Lerczak, J.A., Breiwick, J.M. 2005. Estimates of abundance of the eastern north pacific stock of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*) 1997–2002. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 7: 1–12.

Sasaki, T., Nikaido, M., Hamilton, H., Goto, M., Kato, H., Kanda, N., Pastene, L.A., Cao, Y., Fordyce, E., Hasegawa, M., Okada, N. 2005. Mitochondrial phylogenies and evolution of mysticete whales. Systematic Biology, 54: 77–90.

Swartz, S.L., Taylor, B.L., Rugh, D.J. 2006. Gray whale Eschrichtius robustus population and stock identity. Mammal Review, 36: 66–84.

Urbán, R.J., Rojas-Bracho, L., Pérez-Cortés, H., Gómez-Gallardo, A., Swartz, S.L., Ludwig, S., Brownell, R.L. 2003. A rewiew of gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*) on their wintering grounds in Mexican waters. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 5: 281–295.

Würsig, B., and Jefferson, T. 1990. Methods of photo-identification for small cetaceans. Reports of the International Whaling Commission (Special Issue), 12:43-52.

Yakovlev, Y., Tyurneva, O. 2003. Photo-identification of the Korea-Okhotsk gray whale *(Eschrichtius robustus)* population in 2002. Interem Report for Exxon 1-30.

Fecha de recepción: 1 de julio de 2010 Fecha de aceptación: 17 de septiembre de 2010